

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
I. Oil		
A. Conventional	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Low Transformer Cost• Lower Viscosity at Low Temperatures• Liquid Dielectric Performance• Low Maintenance Cost• Biodegradable/Low Toxicity Fluid• Preventative Maintenance (DGA) per IEEE C57.104• Loadbreak Operations• Long Service Life Expectancy• Typically Self-Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Thermal Overstress• Easy to Reprocess/Dispose• Pour Point < -35°C	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Requires Vault per NEC® Article 450-C (Indoor)• Higher Installation Cost• Relatively Low Fire Point• Not Favored by Insurance Companies• Containment with Absorption Bed May Be Required• Deluge Extinguishing System May Be Required• Longest Clearance Distances• Excessive Minimum Clearance Distance May Be Required (Outdoor)• Fire Barriers May Be Required (Outdoor)• Not Classified as Edible Oil• Non-Renewable Resource Based
II. Less-Flammable Liquids		
A. Fire-Resistant Hydrocarbons (R-Temp® Fluid)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Flawless Safety Record Since Introduction (1975)• Excellent Loadbreak Performance• Excellent Dielectric Properties• Field Proven Through 550 kV BIL• Biodegradable• Widest OEM Acceptance• Low UL Fire Hazard Value (4-5)• Essentially Non-Toxic to Humans• Excellent Compatibility• Not Listed as Hazardous Waste• Easy to Reprocess/Dispose• Good Stability/Essentially Non-Sludging• UL Classified - Bayonet with CL Fuses Allowed• FM Approved• UL Classified• Lower Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Higher Viscosity at Low Temperature• Liquid Containment Means Required per NEC 450-23 (Indoor)• Higher Cost than Conventional Mineral Oil• ≈ 3% Mineral Oil Contamination Reduces Fire Point < 300°C• Not Classified as an Edible Oil• Pour Point -21°C

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
A. Fire-Resistant Hydrocarbons (cont'd)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low Maintenance Cost • Available in Listed Transformers • Excellent Very High Temperature Stability (Aramid Paper Aging Test Passed at 250°C, 4000 Hours) • Preventative Maintenance (DGA) per IEEE C57.104 • NEC Recognition Since 1977 • NESC Safeguard Recognition Since 1993 • Listed Transformer Option Available • Long Service Life Expectancy • Typically Self-Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Thermal Overstress • Fully Miscible with Conventional Transformer Oil, Natural and Synthetic Esters and Most Askarel Substitutes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher Cost than Mineral Oil • Liquid Containment Means Required Per NEC 450-23 (Indoor) • Some Material Incompatibilities (Certain PVCs) • Pour Point –21°C
B. Natural Ester (Envirotemp® FR3™ Fluid)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flawless Safety Record Since Introduction (1997) • Time to Kraft Paper End-of-Life Improvement 5-8 Times • Excellent Dielectric Properties • Excellent Clarity • Rapidly and Completely Biodegrades • Low Viscosity • Best Lubricity • Non-Toxic • Generally Good Compatibility • Not Listed Hazardous Waste • Essentially Non-Sludging • Low Maintenance Cost • Preventative Maintenance (DGA) • Food Grade Ingredients • Renewable Resource Based • Low UL Fire Hazard Value (4-5) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher Cost than Mineral Oil • Liquid Containment Means Required Per NEC 450-23 (Indoor) • Some Material Incompatibilities (Certain PVCs) • Pour Point –21°C

BULLETIN 92005
June, 2001
(Supersedes March, 1999)

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
B. Natural Ester (cont'd)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ease to Reprocess/Dispose• Good Stability• UL Classified – Bayonet with CL Fuses Allowed• FM Approved• UL Classified• NEC & NESC Safeguard Recognition• Listed Transformer Option Available• Long Service Life Expectance• Typically Self-Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Thermal Overstress• Complies with Edible Oil Act• Fully Miscible with Conventional Transformer Oil, High Molecular Weight Hydrocarbons and Most Askarel Substitutes	
C. Synthetic Ester (Envirotemp® 200 Fluid)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Flawless Safety Record Since Introduction (1984)• Excellent Loadbreak Performance• Excellent Dielectric Properties• Essentially Non-Toxic• Rapidly Biodegrades• Lowest Viscosity of Less-Flammable Fluids• Best Lubricity• Generally Good Compatibility• Not Listed Hazardous Waste• Essentially Non-Sludging• Low Maintenance Cost• Preventative Maintenance (DGA)• Long Service Life Expectancy• Typically Self-Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Thermal Overstress• NESC Safeguard Recognition Since• Very Low Pour Point (-55°C)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• High Cost• Liquid Containment Means Required per NEC 450-23 (Indoor)• Some Material Incompatibilities (Certain PVCs)• Not Listed by UL or FM

BULLETIN 92005
June, 2001
(Supersedes March, 1999)

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
D. Silicone (Dienthylsiloxane)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Overall Good Fire Safety Record• Lowest Viscosity at Low Temperatures• Very Low Pour Point• Excellent Stability (<150°C)• Excellent Clarity• NEC Recognition Since 1977• NESC Safeguard Recognition Since 1993• Low UL Fire Hazard Value (4-5)• FM Approved• UL Classified• Wide OEM Acceptance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Non-Biodegradable• Persistence Potential in Environment• Produces Hazardous By-Product Particulates When Combusted (Oxides of Silicon, 80% of Liquid Weight)• Higher Viscosity at Nominal Operating Temperatures• Material Non-Compatible (Silicone & Standard Gaskets, Petrolatum, etc)• Not Compatible with Most Loadbreak Operations• Poor Lubricity• Silicone Contamination (ppm) Can Cause Conventional Oil Foaming Under Vacuum• Special Concern for Paint Line Contamination• Very High Cost• Disposal Difficulties & High Cost• UL Classification Doesn't Allow Bayonet Fuses in Silicone• Containment Means Required Indoor• Adjudicated Liability on Adverse Health Effects of Silicone Implants• Non-Self Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Heat Overstress (Can Form Semi-Conductive Bridging)• DGA Per IEEE C57.104 Not Applicable• Not Miscible with Conventional Transformer Oil, Natural or Synthetic Esters, or High Molecular Weight Hydrocarbons

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
E. Synthetic Hydrocarbons (Polyalpha Olefins)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Excellent Dielectric Properties• Good Low Temperature Viscosity• Excellent Lubricity• Essentially Non-Toxic• Biodegradable• Typically Self-Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Thermal Overstress	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• High Cost• Limited OEM End-Users
III. Non-Flammable Liquids/Gases		
A. Perchloroethylene	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No Fire Point• Used for Retrofilling PCB Transformers (Interim and Permanent)• FM Approved• UL Classified• Low Viscosity	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No Longer Offered in New Transformers in USA• Hazardous Material• Suspected Carcinogen• Listed Carcinogen, State of California• Limited Equipment Manufacturers• Banned for Transformer Applications by US Corps of Engineers & Others• Extreme Concern for Thermal Decomposition By-Products, e.g. HCL, Trace Dioxin, Phosgene• Severe Environmental Restrictions in NEC (Section 450-24)• EPA Listed Hazardous Air Pollutant
B. Trichlorobenzene	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No Fire Point• Used in Retrofilling PCB Transformers (Interim)• Low Viscosity	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No Longer Offered in New Transformers• Hazardous Material• Suspected Carcinogen• Limited OEM Acceptance• Extreme Concern for Thermal Decomposition By-Products, (Dioxin)• Severe Environmental Restrictions in NEC (Section 450-24)• EPA Listed Hazardous Air Pollutant

BULLETIN 92005
June, 2001
(Supersedes March, 1999)

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
C. Freon	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No Fire Point• Low Viscosity	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No Longer Offered in New Transformers• Chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) Based• Tied to Ozone Depletion• Future Availability Uncertain• Leaks Can Go Undetected• Special Maintenance Concerns• Unusual Physical Dimensions• Severe Environmental Restrictions in NEC (Section 450-24)
D. Sulphur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No Fire Point• Ease of Code Compliance• No Liquid Containment Needed• Excellent Dielectric Withstand	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Extreme Concern for Arcing Thermal Decomposition By-Products (e.g. S₂F₁₀)• Most Potent Green House Gas Evaluated by EPA• Environmental Persistence Measured in Tens of Thousands of Years• Larger Footprint• Poor Cooling Medium• High Cost of Special Transformer Design• Higher Noise Level• Susceptibility to Harmonic Currents• DGA not Available• Heat Output Stresses HVAC• Lower Standard Overload Capacity• Escalating Pricing• Odorless, Oxygen Displacing Gas• Typically Requires Remote Heat Exchanger

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
IV. Dry		
A. Open Dry	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Low First Cost• Many Manufacturers• Ease of Code Compliance• No Liquid Containment Needed	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Subject to Contamination• Higher Standard Energy Losses• Require Periodic Cleaning• Reported Fires• Higher Noise Level• Lower Standard BIL Levels• High Enclosure Temperature• Standard Enclosure Does Not Pass Wire Probe & Pry Test (ANSI/IEEE C57.12.28)• Special Outdoor Enclosure Affects Load Capacity & Increases Cost• Greater Susceptibility to Harmonic Overheating• Lower Standard Overload Capability• BIL Subject to Degradation Due to Contaminants (Dust, Lint, Etc.)• Larger Footprint• DGA Preventive Maintenance Not Available• Heat Output Stresses HVAC (Indoor)• Non-Self Healing Insulation
B. Cast Resin	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Better Resistance to Contamination Than Open Dry-Type• Ease of Code Compliance• No Liquid Containment Needed• Better Short Circuit Withstand Than Open Dry	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Long Term Reliability Not Proven• Higher Standard Energy Losses• High Cost• Difficult to Repair Coil (Cost/Lead-Time/Limited Sources)• Low Standard BIL Levels• DGA Preventative Maintenance Not Available

BULLETIN 92005
June, 2001
(Supersedes March, 1999)

Transformer Options For Fire Sensitive Locations

Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
B. Cast Resin (cont'd)		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Greater Susceptibility to Harmonic Overheating• Reported Explosions and Fires• Epoxy Cracking Concerns (Thermal Cycling)• Non-Recyclable Coils - Landfill Disposal• Larger Footprint - Heavier• Heat Output Stresses HVAC• Requires Periodic Bus Bar Cleaning• Relatively Few Manufacturers & Repair Facilities

To the best of our knowledge, the information in this bulletin is accurate at the time of printing. Supporting documentation available upon request.